

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,195	PACIORETTY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	YONG CHONG	1627	

All Participants:

(1) YONG CHONG

(2) Dr. John Babish

Date of Interview: 5 May 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The previous Office Action and Applicant's response were discussed. Applicant gave authorization for the Examiner to make claim amendments in an Examiner's Amendment so as to advance prosecution.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yong S. Chong/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1627

Status of Application: Z1

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)